

Disclaimer: Notice to On-line Users:

This on-line transcription, of a public document of the U.S. Government, is not the official version. This is a scanned and converted transcription by Boston Heights Overlook.

The official version is available from the indicated sources.

This on-line version may differ from the official version and should be relied upon for general information purposes only.

N.B. This document refers to attached copies of the actual comment correspondence. These do not appear in this on-line transcript because Boston Heights Overlook found them too darn difficult to scan and convert (with one exception). Sorry!

N.B. The following errors appeared in the original document and have been faithfully reproduced here: all headers that read "April 2002" ought to be "August 2002"; all instances of "Michael H. Cheung" should be "H. Michael Cheung".

Boston Heights Overlook & BostonHeights.Org are not affiliated with the Village of Boston Heights OH. Or the U.S. Army.

APPENDIX H

PUBLIC COMMENTS & CORRESPONDING RESPONSES

Response to Comments
 Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
 Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
 Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

Period of Comment: July 3 through August 2, 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Commenter	Affiliation	Page
William J. Hinkle	Private Citizen	2,6
William Maki	Private Citizen	2
Joseph A. Varga III	Private Citizen	3
Michael H. Cheung, Ph.D., P.E.	Boston Heights Village Council Member	4,6
Edward R. Kuchar	Private Citizen	4-5
Richard and Marguerite Donley	Private Citizens	7
Teri A. Slane	Boston Heights Village Council Member	7
Mary C. Griffiths	Private Citizen	8
Cindy Palumbo	Private Citizen	8
Katie Palumbo	Private Citizen	8
Paul Palumbo	Boston Heights Village Council Member	9
Raymond A. Kuchar	Private Citizen	9
Raymond McFall	Mayor, Village of Boston Heights	10
Stephen J. Schreiber, P.E.	Village Engineer	10-11
Wayne & Kathy Holsopple	Private Citizens	11
P J Rattigan	Private Citizen	11

<i>Commenter</i>	<i>General Area of Concern</i>				
	Traffic / Road Maintenance	Financial Impacts	Land Use / Proximity to Residences	Report Errors	Natural Resources
W. Hinkle		√	√		
W. Maki	√				
J. Varga	√	√			
M. Cheung	√	√	√	√	
E. Kuchar	√	√	√		
R. M. Donley	√				
T. Slane	√	√	√		
M. Griffiths	√	√			
C. Palumbo	√	√	√		√
K. Palumbo	√				√
P. Palumbo	√	√	√		
R. Kuchar	√		√		
R. McFall	√	√	√	√	
S. Schreiber				√	
W&K Holsopple	√	√			
P J Rattigan	√		√		

Response to Comments
 Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
 Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
 Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

Document/ Section/ Page Number	Public or Regulatory Comment	U.S. Army Reserve Response
<i>Commenter: Private Citizen; William J. Hinkle, 420 East Boston Mills Road, Boston Heights, Ohio; Date of Comment(s) – July 7, 2002</i>		
General Comment(s)	<p>The Legal Notices for this proposal appeared in local papers on 3 July 2002: NOTICE OF 30-DAY PERIOD FOR PUBLIC COMMENT Proposed Land Acquisition and Construction of a U.S. Army Reserve Center, Boston Heights, Ohio. However, as of 7 July 2002 the promised EA-FNSI document has not appeared at the indicated Internet site: http://www.usarc.army.mil.88thrc/. It would be helpful to have that resource available in order to make informed comments. There appears to be only one printed copy publicly available at a rather distant library.</p> <p>I would strongly urge the USACE or better, the 88th Regional Support Command itself, to offer some public explanation as to the local impact of this proposed center on the Village of Boston Heights. The command is otherwise risking a knee-jerk "not in my back yard" local response to this proposal. If some public explanation of the plan can be given within the 30-day comment period, so much the better. For example, at the Boston Heights Village Council meeting of 10 July 2002 8PM. Please note that I am a private citizen residing in the Village of Boston Heights. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Delay in uploading the documents to the Internet site was experienced due to the size of the documents. The documents were in place on or before July 3 at the library closest to the proposed Site, and at the Mayor's office and local Soil and Water Conservation District.</p> <p>By noon on Monday, July 8, the web site was functional. At 1:04 pm on July 8, confirmation was received from Mr. Hinkle that the web site was accessible.</p> <p>USACE and Regional Support Command (RSC) personnel plan to meet with city officials on August 13, 2002 to provide further information regarding the proposed project.</p>
<i>Commenter: Private Citizen; William Maki; Date of Comment – July 22, 2002</i>		
General	<p>Please be advised of a plan to upgrade Route 8 between Route 303 and I-271 in the future. This will be east of your proposed site. AMATS in Akron, Ohio has the full details.</p>	<p>This information was obtained during the development of the environmental assessment. The proposed Site is not adjacent to Route 8, and therefore, would not be impacted by construction operations. In addition, based on the proposed improvements to Route 8, traffic patterns for personnel commuting to the Center would not change.</p>

Response to Comments
 Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
 Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
 Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

Document/ Section/ Page Number	Public or Regulatory Comment	U.S. Army Reserve Response
<p><i>Commenter: Private Citizen; Joseph A. Varga III, 7240 Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights, Ohio; Date of Comments – July 12, 2002</i></p>		
<p>General Comment(s)</p>	<p>I live on Olde Eight where you plan to put your army base. I am totally opposed to your project for the following reasons.</p> <p>(1) Olde Eight and Hines Hill cannot handle any more traffic. Especially the kind of traffic you want to bring in.</p> <p>(2) You will totally destroy these roads with equipment that is only designed as a five-ton load limit.</p> <p>(3) There is no income from this project for the village.</p> <p>(4) This would stretch our police and fire and ems without any additional funding.</p> <p>I am totally opposed and of course this email will do no good because you're the federal government and your going to do what you want anyhow. I want to oppose this and let someone else buy the property that will BENEFIT the Village.</p>	<p>(1) According to the city engineer of Boston Heights, a recent traffic study was completed for the intersection of Hines Hill and Olde Eight. The purpose for the study was to determine whether the volume of traffic warranted a three-way stop or traffic light. The study showed that neither upgrade was warranted, given the current volume of traffic. This conclusion suggests that the roadways in the vicinity of the proposed Site will be able to handle the increase in weekday and weekend commuters to the proposed Center. During the next phase of site design, the Army Reserve would work closely with local and state agencies to ensure that traffic-related concerns are appropriately addressed.</p> <p>(2) During the next phase of site design, the five-ton weight limit will be considered and appropriate engineering measures will be taken to prevent damage to roadways due to movement of heavy military equipment. The military equipment will traverse Olde Eight Road and Hines Hill Road on a very limited basis. Permitting and posting of bonds have been discussed with the city engineer as a possible course of action.</p> <p>(3) & (4) Noted. The new Center would be secured, equipped with a fire suppression system, and occupied by military personnel. As such, a strain on the Police and Fire Departments is not anticipated. If needed, the Village and Army Reserve may enter into an agreement to pay for rendered services provided by the Village. Benefits of the proposed Center, which could offset such public concerns, would include the use of the Center for public purposes and as an emergency shelter, in addition to incorporating public projects into the unit missions.</p>

Response to Comments
 Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
 Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
 Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

Document/ Section/ Page Number	Public or Regulatory Comment	U.S. Army Reserve Response
<p><i>Commenter: Boston Heights Village Council Member; Michael H. Cheung, Ph.D., P.E.;</i> <i>Date of Comments – July 24, 2002</i></p>		
<p>Section 3.11.5 & Section 3.13.3</p>	<p>In section 3.11.5 the report erroneously states that the local cable provider is Time Warner; it is in fact Adelphia. In section 3.13.3 the report lists Cleveland State University as being located nearby on West Streetsboro; Cleveland State University is located in downtown Cleveland and, to the best of my knowledge, has no branch offices in Hudson.</p>	<p>The information collected during the environmental assessment was in error. The reference to Time Warner has been replaced with Adelphia. Similarly, the reference to a Hudson branch of the University has been removed from the text.</p>
<p>Section 3.1.2 Page 8</p>	<p>I did just notice one fairly substantive error in the draft final report. On page 8, in section 3.1.2, the report erroneously describes the golf course property north of Hines Hill road as being zoned commercial. It is not. That property is zoned residential. The only commercial use permitted is as a golf course. This situation is due to the golf course being in operation at the time the Villages zoning ordinances were adopted.</p>	<p>The information provided for the golf course represents the Summit County designation. The correct reference to the Village of Boston Heights zoning category, Residential, has been made.</p>
<p><i>Commenter: Private Citizen; Edward R. Kuchar, 6044 Ledgeview Drive, Boston Heights, Ohio;</i> <i>Date of Comments – July 29, 2002</i></p>		
<p>General Comments</p>	<p>Mr. Kuchar provided a four-page letter, which is attached to this Response-to-Comments Table. The following provides a summary list of the issues raised in his July 29, 2002 letter.</p> <p>(1) Our Police and Fire Departments cannot be expected to handle the volume of traffic and number of people expected to be housed and schooled there each week.</p> <p>(2) The Community would not receive property taxes, but would be expected to provide services. The Community businesses would not see increased sales or revenues due to the lack of restaurants, shopping plazas, etc. nearby.</p>	<p>(1) & (2) The new Center would be secured, equipped with a fire suppression system, and occupied by military personnel. As such, a strain on the Police and Fire Departments is not anticipated. If needed, the Village and Army Reserve may enter into an agreement to pay for rendered services provided by the Village.</p> <p>Benefits of the proposed Center, which could offset such public concerns, would include the use of the Center for public purposes and as an emergency shelter, in addition to incorporating public projects into the unit missions.</p>

Response to Comments
 Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
 Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
 Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

Document/ Section/ Page Number	Public or Regulatory Comment	U.S. Army Reserve Response
	<p><i>Mr. Kuchar comments, continued</i></p> <p>(3) Olde Eight Road is a two-lane county road that cannot handle increased traffic.</p> <p>(4) The Site is located across from residential properties to the west and a golf course to the north.</p> <p>(5) The Site is zoned for office space.</p> <p>(6) An EPA contamination site is located approximately one mile west of the Site on Hines Hill Road.</p> <p>(7) A Route Eight rerouting is proposed along the West side of the current Route Eight. This would preclude routing your project traffic to the east onto the new Route Eight.</p>	<p>(3) According to the city engineer of Boston Heights, a recent traffic study was completed for the intersection of Hines Hill and Olde Eight. The purpose for the study was to determine whether the volume of traffic warranted a three-way stop or traffic light. The study showed that neither upgrade was warranted, given the current volume of traffic. This conclusion suggests that the roadways in the vicinity of the proposed Site will be able to handle the increase in weekday and weekend commuters to the proposed Center. The increased traffic on the weekends would occur during the early morning hours, which should not affect normal traffic patterns. During the next phase of site design, the Army Reserve would work closely with local and state agencies to ensure that traffic-related concerns are appropriately addressed. At a minimum, turning and deceleration lanes would be included with this project.</p> <p>(4) & (5) According to the Summit County Auditor records & Boston Heights Zoning Map, the Site is commercial vacant land, which is zoned for an executive office park. The Army Reserve Center would essentially function as an administrative and training/educational facility, especially during the five-day workweek. As such, the use and character of the Center would be consistent with the commercial nature of the land. In the next phase of design, the Army Reserve would work with the local planning and zoning board with respect to local zoning requirements.</p> <p>(6) This contaminated waste site was evaluated during the Environmental Baseline Survey of the 22-acre parcel. It was determined that this site does not present a probable risk to the environmental conditions of the parcel.</p> <p>(7) This proposed upgrade of Route 8 was considered during the development of the environmental assessment. Based on the improvements that have been proposed, traffic patterns for personnel commuting to the Center would not change.</p>

Response to Comments
 Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
 Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
 Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

Document/ Section/ Page Number	Public or Regulatory Comment	U.S. Army Reserve Response
<p><i>Commenter: Private Citizen; William J. Hinkle, 420 East Boston Mills Road, Boston Heights, Ohio; Date of Comment(s) – August 1, 2002</i></p>		
<p>General Comments</p>	<p>Mr. Hinkle provided a one-page letter, which is attached to this Response-to-Comments Table. The following provides a summary list of the issues raised in his August 1, 2002 letter.</p> <p>(1) Lack of tax revenue to support police, fire, EMS services, and road maintenance; and (2) Zoning issue and proximity to residential properties.</p> <p>Mr. Hinkle made the following suggestions:</p> <p>(1) Use of a Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) to alleviate the loss of revenue from the property.</p> <p>(2) Recess the Center’s facilities, including parking, and screen them from the roadway and residential areas. Bias open spaces towards residential properties and keep original woodlands, or use dense plantings, as a barrier-yard screening.</p> <p>(3) Direct lighting away from residences and street lines-of-sight.</p> <p>(4) Keep the village and its citizens informed and involved.</p>	<p>The concerns expressed by Mr. Hinkle are similar to those expressed by Mr. Kuchar and others. Refer to Responses (1)(2)(4)&(5) on Pages 4 and 5 with regard to local revenue and land use issues. If needed, the Village and Army Reserve may enter into an agreement to pay for rendered services provided by the Village. In the next phase of design, the Army Reserve would work with the local planning and zoning board with respect to local zoning requirements.</p> <p>Mr. Hinkle’s suggestions will be carried over into the next phase of the project, and considered during site layout design. Coordination with the local government and private citizens will be crucial during the design phase of the project.</p> <p>The suggestion regarding PILT will be forwarded to Army Reserve management. Project buildings and site plans will be presented to the Village Planning Committee at regular meetings that are open to the public. Intent is to achieve an attractive facility with minimal impact to neighboring properties.</p>
<p><i>Commenter: Boston Heights Village Council Member; Michael H. Cheung, Ph.D., P.E.;</i> <i>Date of Comments – August 2, 2002</i></p>		
<p>General Comments</p>	<p>Dr. Cheung e-mailed a letter, which is attached to this Response-to-Comments Table. The following provides a summary list of the issues raised in his August 2, 2002 letter.</p> <p>(1) No economic benefit; (2) Zoning issue and proximity to residential properties; (3) Ingress and egress onto Olde Eight Road; and (4) Village’s weight limit for road network.</p> <p>Dr. Cheung made the following suggestions:</p> <p>(1) To the maximum extent possible, protect the adjacent residential properties when considering buffering and setbacks.</p> <p>(2) Reconfigure the site plan so that access is from Hines Hill Road to lower the impact to residential properties to the west.</p>	<p>The concerns expressed by Dr. Cheung are similar to those expressed by others citizens. Refer to the responses given on Pages 3, 4 and 5 with regard to the four issues outlined by Dr. Cheung, as other individuals had similar concerns.</p> <p>Dr. Cheung’s suggestions will be carried over into the next phase of the project and will be addressed during site layout design. Coordination with the local government and private citizens will be crucial during the design phase of the project.</p>

Response to Comments
 Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
 Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
 Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

Document/ Section/ Page Number	Public or Regulatory Comment	U.S. Army Reserve Response
<i>Commenter: Private Citizens; Richard M. and Marguerite A. Donley, 7683 Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights, Ohio; Date of Comment(s) – July 30, 2002</i>		
General Comments	<p>Mr. and Mrs. Donley provided a one-page letter, which is attached to this Response-to-Comments Table. The following provides a summary list of the issues raised in their July 30, 2002 letter.</p> <p>(1) Negative impacts the Center would have on the traffic volume on Olde Eight Road; and (2) No financial contribution to maintain the roadway(s).</p>	<p>The concerns expressed by Mr. and Mrs. Donley are similar to those expressed by others citizens. Refer to responses given to Mr. Varga's comments on Page 3 with regard to traffic volume and road maintenance concerns. During the next phase of site design, the Army Reserve would work closely with local and state agencies to ensure that traffic-related concerns are appropriately addressed. Permitting and posting of bonds have been discussed with the city engineer as a possible course of action. Coordination with private citizens will also be crucial during the design phase of the project.</p>
<i>Commenter: Boston Heights Village Council Member; Teri A. Slane; Date of Comments – July 30, 2002</i>		
General Comments	<p>Council Member Slane provided a four-page, handwritten letter, which is attached to this Response-to-Comments Table. The following provides a summary list of the issues raised in this July 30, 2002 letter.</p> <p>(1) Negative impact on economic development and Village finances; (2) Violation of local ordinances, including weight limit on roadways; (3) Close proximity to residences; (4) Increased traffic; (5) Decrease in property values; and (6) Permanent change to the character of the Village.</p>	<p>The concerns expressed by Council Member Slane are similar to those expressed by others citizens. Refer to the responses given on Pages 3, 4 and 5 with regard to the issues outlined by Council Member Slane, as other individuals had similar concerns.</p> <p>With respect to Village finances, the Village and Army Reserve may enter into an agreement to pay for rendered services provided by the Village. During the next phase of site design, the Army Reserve would work closely with local and state agencies to ensure that traffic-related concerns are appropriately addressed. At a minimum, turning and deceleration lanes would be included with this project. Permitting and posting of bonds have also been discussed with the city engineer as a possible course of action with regard to roadway maintenance.</p> <p>With respect to land use impacts, project buildings and site plans would be presented to the Village Planning Committee at regular meetings that are open to the public. The intent will be to achieve an attractive facility with minimal impact to neighboring properties. Coordination with the local government and private citizens will be crucial during the design phase of the project.</p>

Response to Comments
 Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
 Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
 Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

Document/ Section/ Page Number	Public or Regulatory Comment	U.S. Army Reserve Response
<p><i>Commenter: Private Citizen; Mary C. Griffiths, 7416 Olde Eight Road, Hudson, Ohio; Date of Comment(s) – July 31, 2002</i></p>		
General Comments	<p>Ms. Griffiths provided a one-page letter and an attachment. The following is an excerpt from her July 31, 2002 letter, which presents her concerns.</p> <p>“... Our small village already lost approximately 1/3 of our land to the government for the Cuyahoga Valley National Park. This park took families from our community, taxes from our village and land that could have had potential income from businesses. Olde Eight has bumper-to-bumper traffic at certain times each weekday morning and afternoon... I hope you will consider the additional problems that this center will bring to our area and that the center of the village is not the place for such a center.”</p>	<p>The concerns expressed by Ms. Griffiths are similar to those expressed by others citizens. Several other citizens referenced the loss of land for the National Park. Refer to previous responses given with regard to land use and traffic volume, as other individuals had similar concerns.</p> <p>During the next phase of site design, the Army Reserve would work closely with local and state agencies to ensure that traffic-related concerns are appropriately addressed. At a minimum, turning and deceleration lanes would be included with this project. Coordination with the local government and private citizens will be crucial during the design phase of the project.</p>
<p><i>Commenter: Private Citizen; Cindy Palumbo, 7224 Olde Eight Road, Hudson, Ohio; Date of Comment(s) – August 1, 2002</i></p>		
General Comments	<p>Mrs. Palumbo lives across the street from the proposed site and provided a five-page, handwritten letter, which is attached. The following provides a summary list of the issues raised in her letter.</p> <p>(1) Loss of trees as a vegetative buffer for Route 8; (2) Increased traffic flow and noise pollution; (3) Loss of revenue for the Village; (4) Loss of property value; (5) Changing the ambiance of Boston Heights.</p>	<p>The concerns expressed by Mrs. Palumbo are similar to those expressed by others citizens. Refer to previous responses given with regard to the five issues outlined, as other individuals had similar concerns.</p> <p>These concerns will be addressed during the next phase of the project. Coordination with the local government and private citizens will be crucial during the design phase of the project.</p>
<p><i>Commenter: Private Citizen; Katie Palumbo, 7224 Olde Eight Road, Hudson, Ohio</i></p>		
General Comment	<p>Miss Palumbo lives across the street from the proposed site and provided a handwritten letter, which is attached. The following provides a summary list of the issues raised in her letter.</p> <p>(1) Loss of trees; and 2) Loss of habitat for animals.</p>	<p>It is inevitable that the Site will be developed, whether by the Army or by private developers, given site zoning, Office Park. A landscape and planting plan that addresses landscape buffer requirements noted in the Village zoning regulations would be implemented. Efforts would be made to incorporate resource management practices and to preserve existing stands of mature trees. The adaptable nature of the urban/suburban wildlife species at the Site would likely help to lessen the impacts of land development.</p>

Response to Comments
 Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
 Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
 Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

Document/ Section/ Page Number	Public or Regulatory Comment	U.S. Army Reserve Response
<i>Commenter: Boston Heights Village Council Member Paul Palumbo, 7224 Olde Eight Road, Hudson, Ohio</i>		
General Comments	<p>Mr. Palumbo provided a three-page, handwritten letter, which is attached. The following provides a summary list of the issues raised in his letter.</p> <p>(1) Loss of revenue for the Village and school system; (2) The site is not zoned for this complex; (3) Close proximity of residences.</p>	<p>The concerns expressed by Mr. Palumbo are similar to those expressed by others citizens. Refer to previous responses given with regard to the three issues outlined, as other individuals had similar concerns.</p> <p>Mr. Palumbo's concerns will be addressed during the next phase of the project. With respect to Village finances, the Village and Army Reserve may enter into an agreement to pay for rendered services provided by the Village. Permitting and posting of bonds have also been discussed with the city engineer as a possible course of action with regard to roadway maintenance.</p> <p>With respect to land use impacts, project buildings and site plans would be presented to the Village Planning Committee at regular meetings that are open to the public. The intent will be to achieve an attractive facility with minimal impact to neighboring properties. Coordination with the local government and private citizens will be crucial during the design phase of the project.</p>
<i>Commenter: Private Citizen; Raymond A. Kuchar, 36 W. Hines Hill Road, Boston Heights, Ohio; Date of Comments – July 30, 2002</i>		
General Comments	<p>Mr. Kuchar provided a one-page letter, which is attached. The following provides a summary list of the issues raised in his letter.</p> <p>(1) Increased traffic to an already congested area; and (2) Close proximity to residential areas.</p>	<p>The concerns expressed by Mr. Kuchar are similar to those expressed by others citizens. Refer to previous responses given with regard to the issues outlined, as other individuals had similar concerns.</p> <p>During the next phase of site design, the Army Reserve would work closely with local and state agencies to ensure that traffic-related concerns are appropriately addressed. At a minimum, turning and deceleration lanes would be included with this project. With respect to land use impacts, project buildings and site plans would be presented to the Village Planning Committee at regular meetings that are open to the public. The intent will be to achieve an attractive facility with minimal impact to neighboring properties. Coordination with the local government and private citizens will be crucial during the design phase of the project.</p>

Response to Comments
 Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
 Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
 Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

Document/ Section/ Page Number	Public or Regulatory Comment	U.S. Army Reserve Response
<i>Commenter: Mayor of the Village of Boston Heights Raymond McFall; Date of Comments – July 31, 2002</i>		
General Comments	<p>Mayor McFall provided a two-page letter, which is attached. The following provides a summary list of the issues raised in his letter.</p> <p>(1) No generation of taxes to aid with maintenance and upkeep of roads; (2) Use of vehicles in excess of weight limits and subsequent negative impacts to roadways; (3) Loss of economic development opportunities; (4) Increased traffic; and (5) the EA does not discuss integration of the Village’s Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.</p> <p>Mayor McFall also noted that the EA refer to the golf course as being zoned commercial, when it is actually residential.</p> <p>Mayor McFall also requested the following:</p> <p>1) Preparation of a preliminary plan to address zoning issues and buffer issues; 2) Full compliance with Village Building Codes; 3) A building that fits the rural nature of the Village; and 4) Placement of any hazardous materials so as to minimize hazards to surrounding residential areas.</p>	<p>The concerns expressed by Mayor McFall are similar to those expressed by others citizens. Refer to previous responses given with regard to the issues outlined, as other individuals had similar concerns.</p> <p>These concerns will be addressed during the next phase of the project. Coordination with the local government and private citizens will be crucial during the design phase of the project.</p> <p>USACE and Regional Support Command (RSC) personnel plan to meet with city officials on August 13, 2002 to provide further information regarding the proposed project and to discuss the Village’s concerns.</p>
<i>Commenter: Village Engineer Steven J. Schreiber, P.E.; Date of Comments – July 10, 2002</i>		
Page 11, Section 3.3.2	The Village of Boston Heights has jurisdiction over the site concerning storm water management, not the Summit County Engineer’s Office. Site plans and drainage will be reviewed and approved by the Village. Also, approval must be obtained from the Ohio Turnpike Commission for storm water discharged on their right of way.	The text in Subsection 3.3.2 has been changed to reflect this information. A verbal approval from the Ohio Turnpike Commission has been received thus far.
Page 15, Section 3.8	The Village owned Matthews-Thomas Park, 6737 Olde 8 Road, is to be included in this section and shown on Figure 10 in Appendix A.	Subsection 3.8 and Figure 10 have been modified to include the Matthews-Thomas Park.
Page 18, Section 3.13.3	There are three school districts that service the Village, Hudson, Nordonina, and Woodridge. The discussion in this section indicates that the “Summit County Public School System oversees the schools in the area”, which is incorrect. This section needs revision to indicate the school districts.	The text in Subsection 3.13.3 has been edited to reflect this information.

Response to Comments
 Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
 Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
 Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

Document/ Section/ Page Number	Public or Regulatory Comment	U.S. Army Reserve Response
Page 32, Section 6.3	<i>Comment from Village Engineer, continued</i> There are misspellings under telephone contacts "Russ Pri, Attourny..." It should be revised to "Russ Pry, Attorney..."	These corrections have been made.
<i>Commenter: Private Citizens; Wayne and Kathy Holsopple, 57 Wolcott Drive, Boston Heights, Ohio; Date of Comments – July 31, 2002</i>		
General Comments	Mr. and Mrs. Holsopple are not in favor of the project and provided a one-page letter, which is attached. The following provides a summary list of the issues raised in their letter. (1) Increased traffic, especially on the weekends when there is already a lot of recreational traffic; and (2) Lack of tax base. Mr. and Mrs. Holsopple commented that the land could be better utilized to benefit the Village.	The concerns expressed by Mr. and Mrs. Holsopple are similar to those expressed by others citizens. Refer to previous responses given with regard to the issues outlined, as other individuals had similar concerns. During the next phase of site design, the Army Reserve would work closely with local and state agencies to ensure that traffic-related concerns are appropriately addressed. At a minimum, turning and deceleration lanes would be included with this project. With respect to Village finances, the Village and Army Reserve may enter into an agreement to pay for rendered services provided by the Village. Permitting and posting of bonds have also been discussed with the city engineer as a possible course of action with regard to roadway maintenance.
<i>Commenter: Private Citizen; PJ Rattigan; Date of Comments – July 31, 2002</i>		
General Comments	Mr. Rattigan is against the proposed project for the following reasons: 1) Environmental impact; 2) Noise/audio impact; 3) Property value around area; 4) Traffic congestion; and 5) Pressure on small roads. Mr. Rattigan also commented that there is ample open land in less populated areas and that the land should not be developed for government use.	The concerns expressed by Mr. Rattigan are similar to those expressed by others citizens. Refer to previous responses given with regard to the issues outlined, as other individuals had similar concerns. These concerns will be addressed during the next phase of the project. Coordination with the local government and private citizens will be crucial during the design phase of the project.

Response to Comments

Draft Environmental Assessment & Finding of No Significant Impact
Proposed New U.S. Army Reserve Center, Olde Eight Road, Boston Heights,
Summit County, Ohio, April 2002

My name is Katie
Ballunton I live
across the street
where you are putting
the Army Reserve
center. Please don't
build the Army
Reserve center. We
"love" our trees
across the street.
and just to tell
"you" you are destroying
animals home.
PS "PLEASE"
don't put it there
thank you for reading
my letter.

